UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

PET	ER	M()R(GAI	V.
$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{I}}}$	$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{r}$	IVI	ЛΝ	JAI	Ν.

Case No. 12-12071

Plaintiff,

Paul D. Borman United States District Judge

v.

R. Steven Whalen United States Magistrate Judge

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant	
-----------	--

ORDER (1) ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION;

(2) GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT
TO THE FOURTH SENTENCE OF 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) TO THE EXTENT THAT THIS
CASE BE REMANDED FOR FURTHER FACT-FINDING (Dkt. No. 9);

(3) DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR REMAND PURSUANT TO THE SIXTH
SENTENCE OF 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) (Dkt. No. 12);

(4) DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (Dkt. Nos. 18
& 22) TO THE EXTENT THEY SEEK AFFIRMANCE OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE
DECISION

On September 3, 2013, Magistrate Judge Steven Whalen issued a Report and Recommendation addressing the outstanding motions in this action. (Dkt. No. 24). In the Report and Recommendation, the Magistrate Judge recommends that this Court grant Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment pursuant to the fourth sentence of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) to the extent that this case be remanded for further fact-finding. (Dkt. No. 9). The Magistrate Judge also recommends the Court deny Plaintiff's motion for remand pursuant to the sixth sentence of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). (Dkt. No. 12). Further, the Magistrate Judge recommends denying Defendant's motions for summary judgment to the extent they seek affirmance of the

2:12-cv-12071-PDB-RSW Doc # 25 Filed 10/31/13 Pg 2 of 3 Pg ID 604

administrative record. (Dkt. No. 18 & 22).

Having reviewed the Report and Recommendation, and there being no timely objections

from either party under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and E.D. Mich L.R. 72.1(d), the Court:

1. ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 24); and

2. GRANTS Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment pursuant to the fourth sentence of 42

U.S.C. § 405(g) to the extent that this case be remanded for further fact-finding (Dkt. No.

9);

3. DENIES Plaintiff's motion for remand pursuant to the sixth sentence of 42 U.S.C. §

405(g) (Dkt. No. 12);

4. DENIES Defendant's motions for summary judgment (Dkt. Nos. 18 & 22); and

5. REMANDS this matter pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further

proceedings consistent with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Paul D. Borman

PAUL D. BORMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: October 31, 2013

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing order was served upon each attorney or party of record herein by electronic means or first class U.S. mail on October 31, 2013.

s/Deborah Tofil
Case Manager